

Kenneth A. Gallo (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 Joseph J. Simons (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 Craig A. Benson (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
 2001 K Street, NW
 Washington, DC 20006-1047
 Telephone: (202) 223-7300
 Facsimile: (202) 223-7420
 Email: kgallo@paulweiss.com
 Email: jsimons@paulweiss.com
 Email: cbenson@paulweiss.com

Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452)
 Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346)
 TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP
 One Ferry Building, Suite 355
 San Francisco, California 94111
 Telephone: (415) 788-8200
 Facsimile: (415) 788-8208
 Email: staylor@tcolaw.com
 Email: jpatchen@tcolaw.com

*Attorneys for Sharp Electronics Corporation and
 Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. 3:07-5944-SC
 Individual Docket No. 13-cv-1173-SC
 MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

*Sharp Electronics Corp. et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd.
 et al.*, No. 13-cv-01173.

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER RE TECHONOLOGIES
 DISPLAYS AMERICAS, LLC'S
 RESPONSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED
 COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFFS SHARP
 ELECTRONICS CORPORATION AND
 SHARP ELECTRONICS
 MANUFACTURING COMPANY OF
 AMERICA, INC.**

1 Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corporation and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company
2 of America, Inc. (collectively, "Sharp") and Defendant Technologies Displays Americas LLC ("TDA")
3 enter into this Stipulation concerning the matter entitled *Sharp Electronics Corp. et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd. et*
4 *al.*, No. 13-cv-01173, which was related to *In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation*, No. 07-
5 cv-05944, (the "MDL Proceedings") by an Order of Judge Samuel Conti on March 26, 2013.

6 SUBJECT TO THE COURT'S APPROVAL, THE PARTIES STIPULATE AND
7 AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

8 WHEREAS, on October 28, 2013, Sharp filed its First Amended Complaint (Dkt. 64;
9 MDL Dkt. 2030); and

10 WHEREAS, Sharp and TDA have agreed on a schedule for TDA's answer to or motion
11 to dismiss Sharp's First Amended Complaint.

12 NOW, THEREFORE, PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(b), SHARP AND
13 TECHNOLOGIES DISPLAYS AMERICAS LLC, BY AND THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE
14 COUNSEL OF RECORD, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

15 1. TDA's answer to (or motion to dismiss) Sharp's First Amended Complaint shall be
16 due by November 22, 2013;

17 2. Sharp's response to any motion to dismiss shall be due by December 20, 2013; and

18 3. TDA's reply brief shall be due by January 10, 2014.

19 **IT IS SO STIPULATED**

20 Dated: October 31, 2013

By: /s/ Jonathan A. Patchen

Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452)

Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346)

TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP

One Ferry Building, Suite 355

San Francisco, California 94111

Telephone: (415) 788-8200

Facsimile: (415) 788-8208

Email: staylor@tcolaw.com

Email: jpatchen@tcolaw.com

Kenneth A. Gallo (*Pro Hac Vice*)

Joseph J. Simons (*Pro Hac Vice*)

Craig A. Benson (*Pro Hac Vice*)

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

2001 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-1047
Telephone: (202) 223-7300
Facsimile: (202) 223-7420

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corp. and Sharp
Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc.*

By: /s/ Nathan Lane
Nathan Lane III
Mark C. Dosker
SQUIRE SANDERS (US) LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2600
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 954-0200
Fax: (415) 393-9887

*Attorney for Defendant Technologies Displays Americas
LLC*

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i), the filer attests that the concurrence in the filing of this document
has been obtained from each of the above signatories.

Dated: October 31, 2013 /s/ Jonathan A. Patchen

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2013 _____